

**Proto-Tai and Kra-Dai finals \*-l and \*-c**  
**พยัญชนะสะกด \*-l และ \*-c ในภาษาไทยและข่า-ไท (ไท-กะได) ดั้งเดิม**

Weera Ostapirat\*  
wostapirat@yahoo.com

**Abstract**

Two proto-endings \*-l and \*-c are reconstructed and added to the received system of Proto-Tai final consonants. These additional finals help solve some problems of the otherwise irregular correspondences among dialects, especially between Saek and other Tai varieties. Supporting evidence for these newly reconstructed sounds can be found not only in Tai but also in related language groups such as Kra, Hlai and Be. The proposed system is shown to be valid for Proto-Kra-Dai as well.

**Keywords:** Proto-Tai, Kra-Dai, final consonants, phonological reconstruction

**บทคัดย่อ**

ในบทความนี้ ผู้เขียนได้สืบสร้างพยัญชนะสะกดเสียงข้างลิ้นและเสียงเพดานแข็ง \*-l และ \*-c ในภาษาไทยดั้งเดิม เสียงพยัญชนะสะกดทั้งสองเสียงนี้ไม่ปรากฏในระบบเสียงที่สืบสร้างโดยผู้เชี่ยวชาญภาษาไทยก่อนหน้านี้ แต่ผู้เขียนได้แสดงให้เห็นถึงหลักฐานสนับสนุนในการสืบสร้างเสียงดังกล่าว ทั้งจากภาษาไทยถิ่นและจากภาษาข่า-ไท (ไท-กะได) ที่สัมพันธ์กัน การสืบสร้างเสียงทั้งสองนี้ให้เข้าอยู่ในระบบของภาษาไทยดั้งเดิมยังช่วยอธิบายเสียงปรากฏที่ไม่เข้าพวกระหว่างภาษาแสดและภาษาไทยกลุ่มอื่นๆ ซึ่งคงค้างเป็นปัญหาในการศึกษาต่างๆก่อนหน้านี้ได้อย่างมีเกณฑ์และเป็นระบบ ผู้เขียนได้สรุปให้เห็นด้วยว่าระบบเสียงพยัญชนะท้ายภาษาไทยดั้งเดิมที่นำเสนอใหม่ในบทความนี้ สืบเนื่องมาจากระบบที่มีมาก่อนในภาษาบรรพบุรุษของภาษาตระกูลข่า-ไท (ไท-กะได)

**คำสำคัญ:** ภาษาไทยดั้งเดิม, ภาษาตระกูลข่า-ไท (ไท-กะได), พยัญชนะสะกด, การสืบสร้างระบบเสียง

---

\*Associate Professor in Linguistics

## 1. Introduction

The well-known system of Proto-Tai (PT) final consonants is simple. It includes three stops and three nasals at labial, dental/alveolar and velar articulations. This system is still preserved in many modern Tai dialects. It is also the typical system we find in several languages of South China and Southeast Asian areas, namely, Cantonese. If the three high vowel endings, \*-u, \*-i and \*-u are interpreted as the corresponding glides \*-w, \*-y and \*-u respectively, we will have the inventory of PT finals as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. The system of PT final consonants

*-p	*-t	*-k
*-m	*-n	*-ŋ
*-w	*-y	*-u

In this paper, I will show that two additional finals need to be reconstructed for PT: a lateral \*-l and a palatal \*-c.

## 2. PT final \*-l

Evidences for reconstructing PT \*-l in general are quite robust and straightforward. PT \*-l has reflexes of -l in Saek and as -n in the other Tai dialects, contrasting with PT \*-n which gives -n in all dialects. Table 2 and Table 3 list examples of roots that point back respectively to PT \*-l and \*-n.<sup>1</sup>

Table 2. PT roots with final \*-l

	Saek	Po-ai	Siamese	Proto-tones
pangolin	lil	lin	lin	*B
stone	ri:l	hin	hin	*A
see	rɛl	han	hen	*A
solid, hard	ke:l	ce:n	kɛ:n	*B
body hair	pul	puun	---	*A
hot	ru:l	---	rɔ:n	*C
stump	kɔl	kɔn	---	*B
teach	sɔ:l	ʈo:n	sɔ:n	*A
fly, v.	bu:l	min	bin	*A

	Saek	Po-ai	Siamese	Proto-tones
slippery	mlu:l	---	lu:n	*B
crow, v.	hal	han	khan	*A
sweet	va:l	va:n	wa:n	*A
part	sual	---	saun	*B
earthworm	trual	nu:n	duan	*A

Table 3. PT roots with final \*-n

	Saek	Po-ai	Siamese	Proto-tones
eat	kin	ku:n	kin	*A
tongue	li:n	lin	lin	*C
silver	ɲɛn	ɲan	ɲən	*A
arm	ke:n	ce:n	khɛ:n	*A
human being	hun	hu:n	khon	*A
sleep	nu:n	nu:n	nɔ:n	*A
smoke	ɣɔn	hɔn	khwan	*A
before	kɔ:n	ko:n	kɔ:n	*B
sky	bun	mu:n	bon	*A
swallow, v.	tlu:n	klwan (Wm)	klu:n	*A
day	van	ɲɔn	wan	*A
axe	va:n	va:n	khwa:n	*A
yesterday <sup>1</sup>	luan	luan (Wm)	(su:n)	*A
moon	blian	nu:n	duan	*A

*Note*

<sup>1</sup> The Siamese form means ‘the day before yesterday’ and may not be directly related. The form points to initial \*z- while Saek and NT forms indicate \*l-.

It is clear from the tables that Saek finals -l and -n are contrastive; as minimal pairs /bul/ ‘to fly’ versus /bun/ ‘sky’ and /vaal/ ‘sweet’ versus /vaan/ ‘axe’ confirm. No conditioned variants can be assumed and we apparently have to conclude that finals \*-l and \*-n are distinctive at PT level.

It is in fact interesting to ask why this final \*-l has never been granted PT status in previous reconstructions. This is perhaps partly due to the fact that Saek is the only Tai dialect reported to have this final -l; on the other hand, substantial Saek

materials have not been available until recently. So, while Li (1977) has made use of Saek data published by Gedney (1970) to support a number of his proposed PT clusters, he did not have enough data at his disposal to feel confident in making judgement on this seemingly 'exotic-to-Tai' final. Gedney himself was reluctant to regard Saek -l as an archaism even when he later concluded that the evidence indicated that it was inherited from Proto-Tai. Gedney's main concerns can be seen in his following words:

...we will have to introduce \*-l into our Proto-Tai reconstructions on the basis of Saek evidence alone, implying that all other languages of the Tai family have merged -l and -n. And this implausible theory will have to be pushed even farther back, because other languages believed to be more remotely related to Tai, such as the Mak, Sui, Kam, and Then languages of Kweichow, agree with most Tai languages in having only -n, never -l. (1993: 921)

What concerns Gedney most then seems to be the assumption that, if Saek -l was archaic and pointed back to PT \*-l, one would hope to find evidence of this \*-l in such related language groups as Kam-Sui, (otherwise, Saek would be alone in preserving the evidence of this \*-l even at the earlier stage beyond PT). However, we now have more knowledge on the Tai-related groups than before. The Hlai and Kra groups of languages, very poorly known decades ago, have been studied and the reconstructions of their parent languages have already been worked out (for recent studies, cf. Ostapirat 2000, 2004, 2005b, 2006, among others). In my study of the Kra language group (Ostapirat 2000), I have reconstructed final \*-l for Proto-Kra (PK), and this PK \*-l can be shown to appear in a number of words corresponding to Saek forms with final -l. Table 4 provides examples of such etyma.<sup>2</sup>

Table 4. Saek and Laha corresponding forms with final \*-l

	Saek	Laha	Kam	
body louse	mlel	mdal	nan	*A
husked rice	sa:l	sa:l	sa:n	*B
shake	səl	sal	(tɔn)	*A
fat, n <sup>II</sup>	mal	mal	man (S)	*A
spin, turn around	pal	(pəl)	pan (S)	*B
yellow <sup>III</sup>	ŋi:l	ŋil	---	*C
heavy	---	khal C	chan	*A

*Notes*

<sup>II</sup> Gedney records Saek /man/, with final -n. The cited form is from Haudricourt (1963).

<sup>III</sup> The word means ‘turmeric’ in Saek but the typical meaning in the Kra languages is ‘yellow’. This perhaps is not a native Tai root but the correspondence with Laha follows the regular pattern.

Thus, while it is true that Kam-Sui languages do not have final -l, Saek is not anymore alone among Kra-Dai languages in having this feature.

Since we have to rely on Saek in distinguishing PT \*-l from \*-n, we are not be able to determine whether certain roots have PT \*-l or \*-n without the Saek related forms. We can also imagine that sometimes the more recent loans from Thai/Lao into Saek could have distorted the picture. The root ‘grandchild’, Saek /la:n/, Siamese /la:n/, may illustrate such a case. According to the correspondence, this word would be reconstructed as PT \*hla:n, with final \*-n. The related Laha form /kla:l/ ‘grandchild’, however, points to final \*-l, and we would expect Saek reflex to be /la:l/. In such a case, we may assume that the Saek form /la:n/ has been more recently borrowed from Thai/Lao which lacks final -l. Based only on Tai evidence, however, must we be content with reconstructing this word with final \*-n?<sup>3</sup>

Despite some difficulties just discussed, it is clear that we can be confident in reconstructing a number of PT roots with final \*-l. All etyma in Table 5 show a straightforward correspondence Saek -l: others -n. In native words, the

following six pairs of vowels may co-occur with final -l in Saek: /i-i:, u-u:, u-u:, ε-ε:, a-a:, ɔ-ɔ:/, plus a diphthong /ua/.

Table 5. PT etyma reconstructed with final \*-l

	Saek	Po-ai	Siamese	
1. pangolin	lil	lin	lin	*B
2. stone	ri:l	hin	hin	*A
3. notched	vi:l	bin (Y)	bin	*B
4. wasp	thi:l A2	tin A2	tɛ:n	*A
5. see <sup>IV</sup>	rɛl	han	hen	*A
6. shake	sɛl	ʔan	san	*B
7. line	sɛl	θan (Y)	sen	*C
8. civet cat	ɲɛl	ɲɛn	hen	*A
9. housefly	ɲɛl	ɲan (Y)	---	*A
10. prop	ɲɛl	---	jan	*A
11. body louse	mɛl	nan	len	*A
12. snore <sup>V</sup>	tɛl	kuun (Y)	(kron)	*A
13. solid, hard	ke:l	ce:n	kɛ:n	*B
14. board	pe:l	pe:n	pɛ:n	*C
15. body hair	pul	pʉun	---	*A
16. dusty <sup>VI</sup>	mul	muun (Lc)	mon	*A
17. hot	ru:l	---	rɔ:n	*C
18. stump	kɔl	kɔn	---	*B
19. teach	sɔ:l	ʔɔ:n	sɔ:n	*A
20. scoop up	sɔ:l	---	chɔ:n	*C
21. hide	jɔ:l	---	sɔ:n	*B
22. worm	nɔ:l	no:n	nɔ:n	*A
23. dig out (ear)	ɲɔ:l	---	jɔ:n	*A
24. carry (on pole)	khɔ:l	kon (Y)	khɔ:n	*A
25. fell, fall off	khɔ:l	---	khɔ:n	*B
26. hammer	ɣɔ:l	hon (Y)	khɔ:n	*C
27. fly, v.	bʉl	min	bin	*A
28. firewood	vʉl	fʉun	fʉ:n	*A
29. slippery	mlʉ:l	---	lʉ:n	*B
30. clf. for cloth	phʉ:l A2	---	phʉ:n	*A

31. spin	pal	pan	pan	*B
32. be, become	phal A2	pan A2	pen	*A
33. slash, chop	val	fan (Y)	fan	*A
34. twist (rope)	val	---	fan	*C
35. clf. for spoon.	khal	---	khan	*A
36. squeeze	khal	kan (Y)	khan	*C
37. field dike	yal	han	khan	*A
38. itch	yal	xan (Wm)	khan	*A
39. crow, v.	hal	han	khan	*A
40. clf. for things	?al	?an	?an	*A
41. dam up	pa:l	---	pa:n (Wt)	*C
42. sweet	va:l	va:n	wa:n	*A
43. sow	va:l	fa:n	wa:n	*B
44. deer	va:l	fa:n	fa:n	*A
45. husked rice	sa:l	ʔa:n	sa:n	*A
46. slack	ja:l	---	ja:n	*A
47. part	sual	---	suan	*B
48. earthworm	trual	nu:n	duan	*A
49. wild, forest	thual B2	tu:n B2	thuau	*B
50. stretch out	jual	ji:n	juu:n	*B

*Notes*

<sup>IV</sup> Saek /rɛl/, with final -l, is recorded in Gedney (1993). In earlier papers on Saek, Gedney seemed to give only the form /rɛn/, with final -n.

<sup>V</sup> The Siamese form is irregular; the cluster kr- does not typically occur in native words. SWT forms in general indicate \*kl-, the expected proto sound that would render Saek tl-. Li (1977) seemed to be misled by Siamese form and put this root under his problematic PT \*kr-.

<sup>VI</sup> The Siamese cited form is usually found in compound /mu:t mon/, where the first root /mu:t/ means 'dark'.

From the preceding list, we may note the following important development before going on to discuss the PT final \*-c.

Normally PT \*-el and \*-al would give Saek -ɛl and -al respectively. These two rimes, however, merged when preceded by acute consonants (cf. item 6 'to

shake’ and item 10 ‘to prop’ where Saek has -ε- for PT \*-a-). On the other hand, PT \*-el has become Saek -al after labial initials (cf. item 32 ‘to be, become’). PT \*-il appears to have become Saek -ul under similar conditions, that is, following labial initials (cf. item 27 ‘to fly’, contrasting with item 1 ‘pangolin’ where \*-i- remains -i-).

Most Northern-Tai (NT) dialects show a merger of \*-el and \*-al into -an. Po-ai /ε/ in ‘civet cat’ (item 8) can be assumed to be fronted under the influence of the palatal initial /j/ (this kind of fronting is typical in Po-ai).

It is significant to further note that some NT dialects could have preserved contrast between PT \*-el and \*-en through distinctive vowel reflexes. For instance, Yay shows the typical NT reflex /-an/ for \*-el, as in /jan/ ‘civet cat’ (item 8) and /nan/ ‘body louse’ (item 11), but has /-en/ for \*-en, as in /jen/ ‘cool’ and /len/ ‘play’. (Siamese has /-en/ for all these words: /hen/, /len/, /jen/ and /len/ respectively). If this is the case, then it would mean that PT final \*-l has been kept, at least after certain vowels, in NT dialects other than Saek. For the first time, additional evidence internal to Tai is discovered to substantiate the reconstruction of PT \*-l.

By using such evidence, we may also suggest that such words as ‘great-grandchild’ could have had final \*-l because Yay has the reflex /-an/ corresponding to SWT \*-en (cf. Yay /lan/, BlackTai /len/). Even though we do not have Saek evidence with final -l for this root, we may still suggest that the word goes back to PT \*hlel.<sup>4</sup>

### 3. PT final \*-c

The palatal final \*-c is reconstructed for the correspondence Saek -k: others \*-t. The contrastive reflexes of this final with respect to those of PT \*-t and \*-k are as follows:

Table 6. Contrastive correspondences of PT \*-t, \*-c and \*-k

PT	Saek	Other dialects
*-t	-t	-t
*-c	-k	-t
*-k	-k	-k



Examples of roots reconstructed with PT \*-c are provided in Table 7. Note that most etyma show Saek -ɛ-, indicating the merger of several early vowels before this proto-ending.

Table 7. PT etyma with final \*-c

	Saek	Po-ai	Siamese	
1. fish scale <sup>VII</sup>	tɛk	cɛt	klet	*e
2. pluck (flower)	rɛk	---	det	*e
3. grain	mɛk	nɛt	malet	*e
4. cut	tɛk	tat	tat	*a
5. dust off	pɛk	pat	pat	*a
6. ant	mɛk	mɔt	mot	*o
7. pour (water)	rɛk	---	rot	*o
8. light up	cuk	ʃut	cut	*u
9. mustard	kɛk	ka:t	ka:t	*a:

*Notes*

<sup>VII</sup> This word shows -p in some dialects, e.g. Wm klip, Y cip, cap. It remains to see whether this can be considered as a conditioned variant caused by the dissimilation of final \*-c > -p after \*-e in such dialects.

The Saek -k reflex in the preceding list cannot be accounted for by assuming any kind of conditioned variants. This conjecture can be confirmed by contrasting the preceding roots with the following etyma reconstructed with PT final\*-t.

Table 8. PT etyma with final \*-t

	Saek	Po-ai	Siamese	
1. seven	cɛt	ʃɛt	cet	*e
2. flea	mat	mat	mat	*a
3. fart	ret	lɔt	tot	*o
4. dig	khut	kut (Y)	khut	*u
5. torn	ka:t	ka:t	kha:t	*a:

Note also that the vowel \*-a- is usually fronted to -ɛ- in Saek before alveolar endings, but only when the initials are acute. (Recall the case of \*-al

becoming Saek -ɛl under such condition). After grave initials, the vowel typically remains -a-, cf. /mat/ ‘flea’ (item 2, Table 8). Before final \*-c, however, it seems that \*-a- is fronted unconditionally, so for the word ‘dust off’ (item 5, Table 7) Saek has /pɛk/ instead of /pak/. If this is truly the case, such Saek vowel reflex will constitute another piece of supporting evidence for \*-c.

The list of words showing this PT \*-c is not lengthy, but includes undisputed PT roots as exemplified above. Moreover, some of these etyma appear also in the other branches of Kra-Dai; this indicates that PT \*-c is a retention rather than an innovation. I have shown elsewhere (Ostapirat 2005:119) that Be and Hlai have distinctive reflexes, -ʔ and -c, respectively in words reconstructible with this PT \*-c.

Table 9. Reflexes of final \*-c in Be and Hlai languages

	Saek	Siamese	Be	Hlai (Bd)
ant	mɛk	mot	muʔ	puc
fish scale	tɛk	klet	liʔ	---
mustard	kɛk	ka:t	kaʔ	---

These are contrastive to the roots having final \*-t such as ‘to fart’: Saek /rɛt/, Siamese /tot/, Be /dɔt/, Hlai /thu:t/, all with final -t.

Additional etyma with early Kra-Dai final \*-c may be reconstructed based on such distinctive reflexes in Be and Hlai (Table 10). Certain words may be reconstructed with PT \*-c even though Saek forms are lacking, e.g. ‘sprout’ Be /ŋaʔ/ Yay /ŋa:t/. For some roots, however, Saek related forms can be found but show final -t (e.g. ‘blood’ and ‘wart’), indicating PT \*-t. In such cases, we may hypothesize that the merger of early \*-c into -t in Saek could have been conditioned by the preceding vowels. For instance, while \*uc (e.g. Saek /cuk/ ‘to light fire’, item 8, Table 7) is kept distinct from \*ut (e.g. Saek /khut/, item 4, Table 8) early \*u:c may have already merged into \*-u:t at PT level. (Note that most etyma we have reconstructed with PT \*-c in Table 7 have short vowels, with the exception of \*-a:-).

Table 10. Additional etyma with Kra-Dai final \*-c

	Saek	Siamese	Be	Hlai
1. blood <sup>viii</sup>	luat	luat	ɓaʔ	ɬa:c
2. wart	tru:t	hu:t	(tsot)	tu:c
3. suck	(du:t)	du:t	---	ru:c
4. sprout	ŋa:t (Y)	---	ŋaʔ	---
5. tail <sup>ix</sup>	---	---	tuʔ	tshuc
6. paddy	---	---	moʔ	(mut) Ts

*Notes*

<sup>viii</sup>For evidence of the labial initial that gives rise to Be /b-/, see Laha /pla:t/, Kam /pha:t/.

<sup>ix</sup>No Tai cognates for this root, but related forms in other Kra-Dai branches are well attested, e.g. Laha /cot/, Kam /sət/.

**4. The new inventory of PT finals**

PT inventory of finals thus may be rewritten as follows:

Table 11: The proposed system of PT final consonants

-p	-t	-c	-k
-m	-n		-ŋ
-w	-l	-y	-ɰ

What we have here is a system with four places of articulations instead of three as in Table 1. The reconstruction of \*-l and \*-c as we have discussed in this paper is based primarily on Saek evidences. It should be noted that no Tai dialects keep the whole system intact. No language has -c in its modern inventory of finals, and Saek alone has -l. The lacunae of the palatal nasal slot tempts us to expect a PT \*-ŋ, but so far no solid evidence has been found to support it. Among Kra-Dai languages, Hlai is the only group having final \*-ŋ in its system, but etyma with this final are few and seem to be limited in distribution. No Tai cognates relatable to Hlai etyma reconstructed with \*-ŋ are found.

I will conclude here by giving a summary of these PT finals in a pan-Kra-Dai perspective (Table 12). Examples are given in Table 13.

Table 12. Correspondences of Kra-Dai finals

	Tai	Hlai	Kra	Kam-Sui
*-p	*-p	*-p	*-p	*-p
*-t	*-t	*-t	*-t	*-t
*-c	<u>*-c</u>	<u>*-c</u>	*-t	*-t
*-k	*-k	*-k	*-k	*-k
*-m	*-m	*-m	*-m	*-m
*-n	*-n	*-n	*-n	*-n
*-l	<u>*-l</u>	*-n	<u>*-l</u>	*-n
*-ŋ	*-ŋ	*-ŋ	*-ŋ	*-ŋ
*-w	*-w	*-w	*-w	*-w
*-y	*-y	*-y	*-y	*-y
*-ʈ	*-ɰ	*-ʈ	*-∅	*-y

(zero)

Table 13. Reflexes of Kra-Dai finals in different Kra-Dai branches

		Siamese	Saek	Hlai (Bd)	Kra (Lh)	Kam
raw	*D	dip	rip	vi:p	ʔdip (By)	ʔdjup (S)
flea	*D	mat	mat	po:t	mat	hmat
ant	*D	mot	mek	puc	mot	mət
fall	*D	tok	tək	thok	tok	tok
bitter	*A	khom	ɣam	ho:m	kam	am
before	*B	kɔ:n	kɔ:n	khu:n	kun	un
body louse	*A	len	mlɛl	than	mdal	nan
drum	*A	klɔ:ŋ	tlɔ:ŋ	laŋ	lɔɔŋ (By)	kuŋ
green <sup>X</sup>	*A	Khiau	he:u	khi:u	---	həu (Ml)
shellfish <sup>XI</sup>	*A	hɔ:i	θai (Y)	tshei	ci	khui (S)
near <sup>XII</sup>	*C	klai	tlə:	plau	kla:	phjai

*Notes*

<sup>X</sup> For an example of \*-w in Kra, cf. Gelao /tʂau/ ‘young female’, Siamese /sa:u/.

<sup>XI</sup> For \*-y in Kra, cf. also Pubiao /qa:i/ ‘buffalo’, Siamese /khwa:i/.

<sup>XII</sup> Many Tai dialects keep PT \*-ɰ, cf. Wm /klau/, Po-ai /cau/. A Baisha dialect of Hlai (Wang and Qian 1951) has the reflex -l (e.g. /pla:l/ ‘near’), which suggests

the phonetic approximation of this proto-final as \*-ɬ. The typical reflex of this final in Hlai dialects is otherwise -u.

The Kam-Sui group simplified the system the most, merging \*-c with \*-t, \*-l with \*-n, and \*-ɰ with \*-y. The Kra group has kept final \*-l but merged \*-c with \*-t. It has also lost final \*-ɬ (> zero). On the other hand, the Hlai group has kept final \*-c but merged \*-l with \*-n. The system of early Be language, not presented in the Table, is similar to that of Hlai, with the following development: \*-c became -ʔ (which further lost in some Be dialects) and \*-ɬ (> \*-ɰ) usually assimilated with the preceding vowel to become early Be \*-ə, then -e or -o depending on dialects.

### Endnotes

1. For each etymon in this paper I generally give the proto-tonal categories (\*A, \*B, \*C, \*D) without indicating modern tones in detail. Readers will be able to find the tonal description of each modern dialect in the published sources provided in the References. (For Saek, see Gedney 1993; for Po-ai, see Li 1997) If any modern dialect forms are irregular with respect to the proto-tones, I will remark them accordingly.

2. In the Table, Laha and Kam represent respectively the Kra and Kam-Sui language groups. When attested, the Hlai reflex of these words is usually -n; for instance, /khu:n/ 'heavy', /than/ 'body louse'.

3. If we, on the other hand, assume that the Saek form /la:n/ is original, would that mean we need to set up two different early finals for the parent language of Tai and Kra, that is, one for the correspondence Saek -l: Laha -l and another for Saek -n: Laha -l? In my earlier study on the link between Saek -l and Laha -l (Ostapirat 1995), I entertained such an assumption and temporarily set up two distinct finals \*-l and \*-L respectively. If we consider the Saek form as loaned, this may now turn out to be unnecessary.

4. For this root, Saek has /le:n/, an apparent borrowing from Thai/Lao, cf. Siamese /le:n/ 'great-grandchild'. The long vowel -e:- in the Siamese form is due to the secondary lengthening and is unique even among the Southwestern-Tai (SWT) languages. This word regularly has in all SWT dialects the reflexes of the short vowel \*-e-. The Saek form /la:n/ 'grandchild', as we suggested earlier, could have

been borrowed together, though we do not have similar clue to sound change as we have here for 'great-grandchild'.

### **Abbreviations**

PH	Proto-Hlai
PK	Proto-Kra
PKS	Proto-Kam-Sui
PT	Proto-Tai
NT	Northern-Tai
CT	Central-Tai
SWT	Southwestern-Tai
Bd	Baoding (Hlai dialect)
By	Buyang
Ht	Heitu (Hlai dialect)
Lc	Lungchow (Tai dialect)
Lh	Laha
Ml	Mulam
S	Sui
Ts	Tongshi (Hlai dialect)
Wt	White Tai
Wm	Wuming (Tai dialect)
Y	Yay
clf.	classifier

## References

- Gedney, W. J. (1970). The Saek language of Nakhon Phanom province. *Journal of Siam Society*, 58(1), 67-87.
- Gedney, W. J. (1976). Saek final -l: Archaism or innovation? *Paper presented at the 9<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Sino-Tibetan Languages and Linguistics*, Copenhagen.
- Gedney, W. J. (1991). *William's J. Gedney's the Yay language*. Edited by T. J. Hudak. (Michigan Papers on South and Southeast Asia No.38) Ann Arbor: Center for South and Southeast Asia Studies, University of Michigan.
- Gedney, W. J. (1993). *William's J. Gedney's the Saek language*. Edited by T. J. Hudak. (Michigan Papers on South and Southeast Asia No.41) Ann Arbor: Center for South and Southeast Asia Studies, University of Michigan.
- Hashimoto, M. J. (1980). *The Bê language: a classified lexicon of its Limkow dialect*. Tokyo: Institute for the Study of Languages and Cultures of Asia and Africa.
- Haudricourt, André-G. (1963). "La langue Saek" *Proceedings of the International Congress of Orientalists*, 25(4): 414-5. Moscow.
- Li, Fang-Kuei. (1977). *A handbook of comparative Tai*. (Oceanic Linguistics Special Publications No.15) Honolulu: The University of Hawaii Press.
- Ostapirat, W. (1995). Notes on Laha final -l. *Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area*, 18(1), 173-181.
- Ostapirat, W. (2000). Proto-Kra. *Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area*, 23(1) (monograph).
- Ostapirat, W. (2004). Proto-Hlai sound system and lexicons. *Language and Linguistics*, Monograph Series Number W-4 (Studies on Sino-Tibetan Languages), 121-175.
- Ostapirat, W. (2005a). Kra-Dai and Austronesian: Notes on phonological correspondences and vocabulary distribution. 107-131. In L. Sagart, R. Blench & A. Sanchez-Mazas (eds.), *The Peopling of East Asia: Putting*

- together Archaeology, Linguistics and Genetics* (pp.107-131). London and New York: Routledge-Curzon.
- Ostapirat, W. (2005b). Be's obstruent consonants in Kra-Dai perspective. *Language and Linguistics*, Monograph Series Number W-3, 275-292.
- Ostapirat, W. (2006). Alternation of tonal series and the reconstruction of Proto-Kam-Sui. *Language and Linguistics*, Monograph Series Number W-6 (Linguistic Studies in Chinese and Neighboring Languages), 1077-1121.
- Ostapirat, W. (2007). Proto-Tai velars and postvelars. In J. G. Harris, S. Burusphat & J. E. Harris (eds.), *Studies in Tai and Southeast Asian Linguistics* (pp. 293-299). Bangkok: Ekphimthai, Ltd.
- Ostapirat, W. (2008). The Hlai language. In A. V. N. Diller, J. A. Edmondson & Yongxian Luo (eds.), *The Tai-Kadai Languages* (pp. 623-652). London and New York: Routledge.
- Ouyang, Jueya & Zheng Yiqing. (1983). *Research and survey of the Li languages*. Beijing: China Social Science Press. [In Chinese]
- Sarawit, M. E. (1973). *The Proto-Tai vowel system*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Michigan.
- Wang, Li & Qian Sun. (1951). First steps in the White Sand Li language of Hainan. *Lingnan Science Journal*, 2(11), 253-300. [In Chinese]